UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,109	01/28/2004	Amir Belson	26427-711.201 4426	
66854 SHAY LAW G	7590 08/02/2007		EXAMINER	
2755 CAMPUS DRIVE			KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN	
SUITE 210 SAN MATEO,	CA 94403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ļ	ł	1	
1	ı	•	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/767,109	BELSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2007. This action is FINAL. This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Dispositi	on of Claims		· .				
4) Claim(s) 24-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 1947 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
∵ Prioritv u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Inform	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/767,109

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

. Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment filed on May 16, 2007, amended claims 24-26; canceled claims 1-23 and new claims 27-44 are acknowledged. The following new grounds of rejection are set forth:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,837,846 to Jaffe et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In regards to claims 24-27 and 31-32, Jaffe et al. disclose a method of treating a region of tissue within body cavity, comprising: advancing an elongate body into the

cavity, the elongate body having an automatically controllable proximal portion and a selectively steerable distal portion 84; selectively steering the selectively steerable distal portion to assume a selected curve along a desired path within the body; and further advancing the elongate body through the cavity and towards the region of tissue to be treated while controlling the automatically controllable proximal portion 86 the elongate body with an electronic motion controller 122 to assume the selected curve of the selectively steerable distal portion (see Figs. 4-5 and Col. 6, Lines 1-55). In regard to the apparatus being introduced into a cranial cavity, a thoracic cavity and/or a peritoneal cavity, Jaffe et al. disclose that it is well known within the art that endoscopes are used for visualizing the interior of a patient's body and can be used in a variety of different diagnostic and interventional procedures (see Col. 1, Lines 23-27 and 62-67).

In regards to claims 28-30, Jaffe et al. disclose a method of treating a region of tissue within body cavity, further comprising creating an incision prior to advancing the elongate device through the incision (see Col. 1, Lines 23-67). It is well known within the art of thoracoscopy and laparoscopy to make an insertion to gain access to the interior of the body.

In regards to claims 33-41, Jaffe et al. disclose a method of treating a region of tissue within body cavity, further comprising treating the region of tissue and delivering an instrument to the region of tissue through the elongate body (see Fig. 2 and Col. 7, Lines 43-46, Col. 8, Lines 49-52 and Col. 10, Lines 18-20).

In regards to claims 42-44, Jaffe et al. disclose a method of treating a region of tissue within body cavity, wherein selectively steering comprises selecting the curve

Application/Control Number: 10/767,109

Art Unit: 3739

with reduces contact with the tissue and avoiding contact with anatomical structures with the body (see Figs. 11a-e and Col. 9, Lines 53-67).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/25/07

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700